

Matel v. Tam Opinion: How the Justices Line Up	Justices
Part One: General Principles Governing Trademark and Posture of the Case	Justices Alito, Roberts, Kennedy, Ginsburg, Breyer, Thomas, and Kagan
Part Two: Whose Interests are Captured in the Disparagement Clause of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 1052(a)	Justices Alito, Roberts, Kennedy, Ginsburg, Breyer, Kagan and Sotomayor
Part Three A: Trademarks are Not Government Speech Exempt from Constitutional Constraints	Justices Alito, Roberts, Kennedy, Ginsburg, Breyer, Thomas, Kagan and Sotomayor
Part Three B: Trademarks are Not Government Subsidized Speech	Justices Alito, Roberts, Thomas and Breyer
Part Three C: Trademark Registration Does Not Merit Creation of a New Legal Doctrine for Government Programs	Justices Alito, Roberts, Thomas and Breyer
Part IV: The Disparagement Clause Cannot Survive Even More Lenient "Commercial Speech" Review	Justices Alito, Roberts, Thomas and Breyer
The First Concurrence in the Judgment: Strict Scrutiny Applies in All Speech Suppression without Reference to Context of Commercial or Non-Commercial Speech	Justices Kennedy, Ginsburg, Sotomayor and Kagan
The Second Concurrence in the Judgment: The Court Ought Not Review Issues Not Before It. Strict Scrutiny Should Apply, But the Outcome Here Is Correct	Justice Thomas